



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

HC
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,890	10/04/2000	Steven Ausnit	769-264	7038

29540 7590 11/07/2002

PITNEY, HARDIN, KIPP & SZUCH LLP
685 THIRD AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10017-4024

EXAMINER

KIM, EUGENE LEE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3721

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

LC

Ex Parte Reexamination Interview Summary	Control No.	Patent Under Reexamination	
	09/678,890	AUSNIT, STEVEN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eugene Kim	3721	

All participants (USPTO personnel, patent owner, patent owner's representative):

- (1) Eugene Kim (3) _____
 (2) M. Stanley (4) _____

Date of Interview: 06 November 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ patent owner 2) ☐ patent owner's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: applicant proposed amendment stressing the zipper material being attached to spool on one web. Further consideration will be given

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.
 Any other agreement(s) are set forth below under "Description of the general nature of what was agreed to..."

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
 _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims patentable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims patentable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 2281). IF A RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN FILED, THEN PATENT OWNER IS GIVEN **ONE MONTH** FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO PROVIDE THE MANDATORY STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (37 CFR 1.560(b)). THE REQUIREMENT FOR PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT CAN NOT BE WAIVED. **EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).**

cc: Requester (if third party requester)



 Examiner's signature, if required